

1



•••• Recent capital punishment milestones/statistics

- 1972 Capital punishment ruled cruel & unusual
- o 1976 upheld for murder
- o 2002 no MR executions (Atkins v Virginia)
- 2005 no executions of < 18 years (Roper v Simmons)
- In 2013, 32 executions in the US as of 10-23-13, (2012 & 2011 43 each year, 2010 - 46)
 2013:18 White,11 Black, 3 Latino. 2012: 25 W, 11 B, 7 L
 Since 2010 - 3 methods of execution – which are?
- Worldwide executions (the company we keep)

• • • Aims of Punishment

- Retribution "just desserts" giving people what they deserve.
- Prevention ensuring that offenders are less likely to repeat their offense.
- Deterrence discourage others from committing crimes.
- Reform punishment will get people to conform to rules.
- o Does execution meet these criteria?

•••• Summary of abolitionist and retentionist arguments

o For

- 1. Every life has dignity and worth
- 2. Class and racial bias

Against

- 3. Innocent people can be executed
- 4. Compromises the judicial system
- 2. Executed murders cannot ever kill again

1. It is a deterrent

- 3. Ultimate crime requires the ultimate punishment
- 4. Shouldn't have to support murders for life

•••• Writings: Ernest van den Haag

- o Argues in favor of CP
- Addresses several arguments against CP
- Distribution
 - Conflates morality of CP with arguments about alleged biases in application.
- Miscarriage
 - Executing the innocent "rare"

• • • van den Haag (cont.)

o Deterrence

- While evidence is equivocal at best, if even some people are deterred & thus innocents spared, it is worthwhile.
- Other issues
 - relative costs, suffering, legitimizing murder
- If only 1 murder per year is deterred, execution of murderers is warranted.



Igor Primoratz

- Defending CP
- Only the death of the murderer can be viewed as proportional.
- Dismisses "right to life" as a universal
- Conviction & execution of innocents means we must do everything possible to reduce future instances
- Racial & economic inequities in CP, are symptoms of general problems in CJ system

Hugo Adam Bedau – The Minimal Invasion Argument

Against DP

- cannot know which murderers will kill again
- No evidence for deterrence
- o "Poor man's justice"
- State should always use the least restrictive or invasive means of accomplishing goal
 - "invasions by the government of an individual's privacy, liberty & autonomy (or other fundamental value) are justified only if no less invasive practice is sufficient to achieve an important societal goal"

Bedau – Formal argument against CP 1. Punishment is justified only if it is necessary as a

- means to some socially valid end.2. The death penalty is more severe, more invasive,
- than long term imprisonment.
 3. Long term imprisonment is sufficient as an invasion of individual liberty, privacy, and autonomy (and other fundamental values) to achieve valid social goals.
- 4. Society ought to abolish any lawful practice that imposes more violation of individual liberty, privacy, or autonomy (or other fundamental value) when it is known that a less invasive practice is available and sufficient.
- Morality dictates the upper limits of punishment

Conviction of innocents o Problems with CP

• Related factors?

- Poor legal defense
- Police and prosecutor misconduct
- Fue witness misidentification (Memory
- Eye witness misidentification (Memon et al, 2002)
- False confessions in 15-25% of innocent defendants overall
- After conviction death sentence more likely due to demeanor - Antonio (2006)

••• Central Park Jogger 1989

- o female jogger beaten senseless, raped, and left for dead, with multiple skull fractures, she lost three quarters of her blood
- o survived, was and is amnesic for incident
- within 48 hrs, solely on the basis of policeinduced confessions, five boys, 14 to 16 years old, were arrested for the attack
- o All tried, convicted, and imprisoned
- o No physical traces at all of the defendants

Innocence Project-False Confessions

- o 311 post conviction DNA exonerations
- o 18 were on death row
- o 30+ murder convictions overturned
- o Chain of events leading to false confessions
 - Preinterrogation interview
 - Determining innocence or guilt
 - Claims (85%) vs. reality (only slightly better than
 - chance • Miranda
 - Interrogation

Miranda Warnings

- Interrogation confrontational and guilt presumptive
- o designed to elicit confession
- o roughly 80% of suspects waive rights
- even when confronted by a hostile questioner most innocents agree to questioning
- o Examples
- o open minded investigators? NOT!
- confirmation bias contribute to errors by investigators as well as forensic examiners

• • • False confessions in US

- o 125 proven cases, 1971-2002
- o 93% males.
- 81% involved murder, 8% rape, 3% arson.
- Exonerated by: Real perpetrator identified 74%, new scientific evidence – 46%
- Young 63% < 25 years old, 32% < 18
- o 22% MR, 10% diagnosed MH
- Sometimes innocents simply come forward and confess: Lindburgh case/Henry Lee Lucas
- We are more interested in "compliant false confessions"



•••• How are false confessions elicited?

- o fatigue and sleep deprivation
- o heighten susceptibility to influence
- impair decision making abilities in complex tasks
- typical police interrogations last < 2 hrs.
- o documented false confession cases found on avg. = 16.3 hrs, 34% 6-12 hrs. and 39% 12-24 hrs.





•••• Recommendations to minimize false confessions

- o 1. Time limits, with breaks for rest and meals
- 2. Eliminate presentation of false evidence
- o 3. Eliminate implied minimization
- 4. Videotape all interrogations, showing both suspect and interrogator

• • • References

- Antonio, M. E. (2006). Arbitrariness and the Death Penalty: How the Defendant's Appearance During Trial Influences Capital Jurors' Punishment Decision. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law, 24*(2), 215-234.
 Kassin, S. M. (1997). The psychology of confession evidence. *American Psychologist, 52*(3), 221-233.
 Kassin, S. M. (2005). On the Psychology of Confessions: Does Innocence Put Innocents at Risk? *American Psychologist, 60*(3), 215-228.
 Kassin, S. M., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (2004). The Psychology of Confessions: A Review of the Literature and Issues. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 52*(2), 33-67.
 Kassin, S. M., & Kliechel, K. L. (1996). The social psychology of false confessions: Compliance, internalization, and confabulation. *Psychological Science, 7*(3), 125-128.
 Memon, A., Hope, L., Bartlett, J., & Bull, R. (2002). Eyewitness recognition errors: The effects of mugshot viewing and choosing in young and old adults. *Memory & Cognition, 30*(8), 1219-1227.
 Perske, R. (2000). Deception in the interrogation room: Sometimes tragic for persons with mental retardation and other developmental disabilities. *Mental Retardation, 38*(6), 532-537.
 Redlich, A. D., & Appelbaum, P. S. (2004). Mental illness, police interrogations, and the potential for false confession. *Psychiatric Services, 55*(1), 19-21.